

CHRISTOPHER A. NEDEAU (CA 81297)
CARL L. BLUMENSTEIN (CA 124158)
PATRICK J. RICHARD (CA 131046)
NOSSAMAN LLP
50 California Street, 34th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: 415.398.3600
Facsimile: 415.398.2438
cnedeau@nossaman.com
cblumenstein@nossaman.com
kchao@nossaman.com

Attorneys for Defendants
AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION and
AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION AMERICA

Karl D. Belgum (CA 122752)
John R. Foote (CA 99674)
Nixon Peabody LLC
One Embarcadero Center, 18th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: 415.984.8200
Facsimile: 415.984.8300
kbelgum@nixonpeabody.com
jfoote@nixonpeabody.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY

[Additional counsel listed on signature page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

This Document Relates To:

Case No. 3:10-CV-5452 SI

EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

EPSON IMAGING DEVICES CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

Case NO. 3:10-CV-5452 SI

MDL NO. 3:07-MD-1827 SI

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER
EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO
COMPLAINT**

Date Action Filed: December 1, 2010

1 WHEREAS plaintiff Eastman Kodak Company (“Kodak”) filed a complaint in the above-
2 captioned case against defendants Epson Imaging Devices Corporation, Epson Electronics America,
3 Inc., Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc, Toshiba Mobile Display
4 Technology Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., AU Optronics Corporation, and AU
5 Optronics Corporation America (collectively, “Stipulating Defendants”) on December 1, 2010
6 (“Complaint”);

7 WHEREAS the Court ordered Stipulating Defendants to respond to the Complaint by May 3,
8 2011 pursuant to stipulation, *see* Dkt. No. 7;

9 WHEREAS Defendants filed a Joint Motion to Dismiss the Complaint in this action on May 12,
10 2011 (“Motion”);

11 WHEREAS the Court granted Plaintiffs’ Motion to file Amended Complaint Under Seal on June
12 22, 2011;

13 WHEREAS Defendants filed a Joint Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint in this
14 action on July 22, 2011;

15 WHEREAS the Court granted in part Defendants’ Joint Motion to Dismiss the First Amended
16 Complaint on August 23, 2011. Docket No. 25 in 10-5452; Docket No. 3251 in 07-1827;

17 WHEREAS Kodak and the Stipulating Defendants have now agreed that Stipulating Defendants
18 shall have up to and including September 23, 2011 to respond to the Complaint, pending approval of the
19 Court;

20 THEREFORE, Kodak and the Stipulating Defendants hereby agree:

21 The Stipulating Defendants shall have up to and including September 23, 2011 to respond to the
22 Complaint.

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

DATED: September 06, 2011

By: /s/ Christopher A. Nedeau
Christopher A. Nedeau (CA Bar No. 81297)
NOSSAMAN LLP
50 California Street, 34th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4799
(415) 398-3600 (Phone)
(415) 398-2438 (Facsimile)
cnedeau@nossaman.com

*Attorneys for Defendants
AU Optronics Corporation and
AU Optronics Corporation America*

By: /s/ Stephen P. Freccero
Melvin R. Goldman (Ca Bar No. 34097)
Stephen P. Freccero (CA Bar No. 131093)
Derek F. Foran (CA Bar No. 224569)
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-2482
(415) 268-7000 (Phone)
(415) 268-7522 (Facsimile)
mgoldman@mofo.com
sfreccero@mofo.com
dforan@mofo.com

*Counsel for Defendants
Epson Imaging Devices Corporation and
Epson Electronics America, Inc.*

By: /s/ John H. Chung

John H. Chung (admitted *pro hac vice*)

WHITE & CASE LLP

1155 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036-2787

(212) 819-8200 (Phone)

(212) 354-8113 (Facsimile)

jchung@whitecase.com

Counsel for Defendants

*Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America Electronic
Components, Inc., Toshiba Mobile Display Technology
Co., Ltd. and Toshiba America Information Systems,
Inc.*

By: /s/ John R. Foote

Karl D. Belgum (CA Bar No. 122752)

John R. Foote (CA Bar No. 99674)

NIXON PEABODY LLC

One Embarcadero Center, 18th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111

(415) 984-8200 (Phone)

(415) 984.8300 (Facsimile)

kbelgum@nixonpeabody.com

jfoote@nixonpeabody.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Eastman Kodak Company

1 Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this
2 document has been obtained from the signatories to this document.

3
4 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 By: 
6 _____

7 Judge of the U.S. District Court, N.D. California

8 9/7/11

9 Date: _____
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28